

1. (a) Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find $GCD(94, 18)$.

$$94 = 18(5) + 4.$$

$$18 = 4(4) + 2.$$

$$4 = 2(2).$$

Since the last non-zero remainder is 2, $GCD(94, 18) = 2$.

$GCD(94, 18) = 2$

- (b) Find integers a and b such that $94a + 18b = GCD(94, 18)$

Working backwards from the second equation in problem 1a,
we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 18 - 4(4) \\ &= 18 - 4(94 - 18(5)) \\ &= (-4)(94) + (21)(18). \end{aligned}$$

$a = -4$	$b = 21$
----------	----------

2. Recall that $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denotes the power set of the set S . Find a set A such that $A \cap \mathcal{P}(A) \neq \emptyset$, or, if there is no such set, explain clearly and using correct grammar why no such set exists. Be sure to use correct notation.

There are many such examples. Probably the simplest is $A = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$, so $\emptyset \in A \cap \mathcal{P}(A)$. Another example would be $A = \{1, \{1\}\}$.

$A = \{\emptyset\}$

Explanation if appropriate:

3. Find a collection $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of non-empty intervals in \mathbb{R} such that $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (that is, $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$) and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \emptyset$.

One way to do this is to let $I_n = (n, \infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then any element of $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ would be a real number which is larger than every natural number, and there is no such real number. Another choice of the I_n 's that works is to let $I_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$.

Answer:

$$I_n = (n, \infty)$$

4. Explain what, if anything, is wrong with the following proof by mathematical induction that for any natural number n , $n = n + 2$. (Note: An explanation is not simply an assertion that the proof is incorrect because the conclusion is incorrect, or that some step is incorrect because the conclusion is incorrect.)

Let $P(n)$ be the statement “ $n = n + 2$.”

Base step: We must prove $P(1)$. If $n = 1$, $n = n + 2 \Rightarrow n^0 = (n + 2)^0 \Rightarrow 1 = 1$, which is true. Therefore, $P(1)$ holds.

Inductive step: Assume that n is a natural number and that $P(n)$ is true. We must prove $P(n + 1)$, that is, we must show that $n + 1 = (n + 1) + 2$. By the inductive hypothesis, $n = n + 2$. Adding 1 to both sides of this equation gives $n + 1 = (n + 2) + 1$. Therefore, $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n + 1)$, so $P(n)$ holds for all natural numbers n .

Explanation:

The problem is that the argument in the base step does not prove that $P(1)$ is true. The fact that $P(1)$ implies a true statement does not mean that $P(1)$ holds, because any statement--true or false--implies a true statement.

5. Use mathematical induction to prove that if k is a positive integer, then $(1 + i)^{4k} = (-4)^k$, where i is the imaginary unit, that is, $i^2 = -1$. Be sure to state explicitly the statement to which you are applying mathematical induction.

Let $P(k)$ be the statement “ $(1 + i)^{4k} = (-4)^k$.”

Base step:

$$(1 + i)^{4 \cdot 1} = ((1 + i)^2)^2 = (1 + 2i - 1)^2 = (2i)^2 = 2i^2 = -4 = (-4)^1, \text{ so } P(1) \text{ holds.}$$

Inductive step:

Assume

$P(k)$ holds, that is, $(1 + i)^{4k} = (-4)^k$. We must prove $P(k + 1)$. $(1 + i)^{4(k+1)} = (1 + i)^{4k}(1 + i)^4 = (-4)^k(1 + i)^4$ (by the inductive hypothesis) $= (-4)^k(-4)$ (by the proof in the base step) $= (-4)^{k+1}$. Therefore, $P(k + 1)$ holds. By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(k)$ holds for all $k \geq 1$.

6. Suppose that $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 3$, and $a_n = 5a_{n-1} - 4a_{n-2}$ for $n > 1$. Use mathematical induction to prove that $a_n = 4^n - 1$ for all non-negative integers n . Be sure to state explicitly the statement to which you are applying mathematical induction.

Let $P(n)$ be the statement ‘‘ $a_n = 4^n - 1$.’’

Base step:

$a_0 = 0 = 4^0 - 1$, and $a_1 = 3 = 4^1 - 1$, so $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ are true.

Inductive step:

Assume that $n \geq 2$ and $P(k)$ holds for all $k < n$. Then, in particular, $a_{n-1} = 4^{n-1} - 1$ and $a_{n-2} = 4^{n-2} - 1$. Therefore, $a_n = 5a_{n-1} - 4a_{n-2} = 5(4^{n-1} - 1) - 4(4^{n-2} - 1) = 5(4^{n-1}) - 5 - 4^{n-1} + 4 = 4(4^{n-1}) - 1 = 4^n - 1$. Hence, $P(n)$ holds. By the Principle of Complete Induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 0$.

7. Let $A = \{w, x, y\}$ and $B = \{y, z\}$. Find $(A \times B) \setminus (A \cap B)^2$. Be sure to use correct notation.

$A \times B = \{(w, y), (w, z), (x, y), (x, z), (y, y), (y, z)\}$ and $A \cap B = \{y\}$, so $(A \cap B)^2 = \{(y, y)\}$. Therefore, $(A \times B) \setminus (A \cap B)^2 = \{(w, y), (w, z), (x, y), (x, z), (y, z)\}$.

Answer:

$\{(w, y), (w, z), (x, y), (x, z), (y, z)\}$

8. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Find a relation R on A which contains $(1, 2)$, $(2, 3)$, and $(1, 3)$ such that R is symmetric but not transitive.

In order that R be symmetric, it must include $(2, 1)$, $(3, 2)$, and $(3, 1)$. Since R is not to be transitive, there must be $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R$ such that $(x, z) \notin R$. Since $(1, 2) \in R$ and $(2, 1) \in R$, if we do not include $(2, 2)$ in R , R will not be transitive. Therefore, we can take R to be $\{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$.

Answer:

$\{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (1, 3), (3, 1)\}$

9. Define a relation \sim on \mathbb{R} by $x \sim y$ if and only if $x - y$ is a rational number. Prove that \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} .

Proof:

\sim is reflexive because if $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x - x = 0$, which is rational.

\sim is symmetric because if $x \sim y$, then $x - y$ is rational, so $y - x = -(x - y)$ is also rational, that is, $y \sim x$.

\sim is transitive because if $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$, then $x - y$ and $y - z$ are rational, so $x - z = (x - y) + (y - z)$ is also rational, that is, $x \sim z$.

10. Suppose that m and n are positive integers such that $m \equiv n \pmod{3}$. Does it follow that $2^m \equiv 2^n \pmod{3}$? Explain your answer.

If we take $m = 1$ and $n = 4$, then $m \equiv n \pmod{3}$. However, $2^1 = 2$, and $2^4 = 16$, but $16 - 2 = 14$, which is not divisible by 3. Therefore, we can take $m = 1$, $n = 4$. (There are other possibilities, as well.)

Answer and explanation:

The answer is “No.” $m = 1$, $n = 4$ provide a counterexample.

11. Let R be the relation on \mathbb{N} defined by xRy if and only if x divides $2y$. For each of the following properties, put a check (\checkmark) next to each property satisfied by R and put an “X” next to each property not satisfied by R . Give a brief explanation for each answer.

Reflexive

If $x \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x|2x$ so xRx .

Symmetric

$1|2 \cdot 3$ so $1R3$, but $3 \nmid 2 \cdot 1$, so $3 \not R1$.

Anti-symmetric

$1|2 \cdot 2$ so $1R2$, and $2|2 \cdot 1$, so $2R1$, but $1 \neq 2$.

Transitive

$4|2 \cdot 2$ so $4R2$, and $2|2 \cdot 1$, so $2R1$, but $4 \nmid 2 \cdot 1$ so $4 \not R1$.

An equivalence relation on \mathbb{N}

R is not symmetric (or transitive).