

1. (1.4, #6) Let  $R$  be a ring with no non-zero nilpotent elements, and let  $P$  be a minimal prime ideal in  $R$ . Prove that  $R_P$  is a field.

**Proof:** To show that a ring is a field it suffices to show that the ring has a unique prime ideal and that it has no non-zero nilpotent elements. The reason that this is true is that since it has a unique prime ideal  $M$  (which is necessarily a maximal ideal), that ideal is the radical of the ring and so it consists of nilpotent elements. But by the second assumption, we then have  $M = 0$ . Hence 0 is a maximal ideal.

We know that there is a bijection between prime ideals of  $R_P$  and prime ideals of  $R$  contained in  $P$ . Thus  $PR_P$  is the unique prime ideal of  $R_P$ . Moreover I claim that  $R_P$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements. For suppose that  $0 \neq a \in R_P$  is nilpotent. Then  $a^n = 0$  some  $n > 1$ . By the definition of localization, there exists  $\beta, \gamma \in R$  such that in  $R_P$  we have  $a = b/c$ , where  $b, c$  denote the images of  $\beta, \gamma$  respectively in  $R_P$ . Thus  $b^n = 0$  and this means that back in  $R$  there exists  $s \in R \setminus P$  such that  $\beta^n s = 0$ . Thus  $(\beta s)^n = 0$  and so by our assumption  $\beta s = 0$ . Hence  $b = 0$  (in  $R_P$ ) and so  $a = 0$ . This proves the claim and so by the first statement it also proves the result.

2. (1.5 #1) Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $R[x]$  contracting to  $P$  in  $R$ . Prove that  $Q$  is a  $G$ -ideal if and only if  $P$  is a  $G$ -ideal of  $R$  and  $Q$  properly contains  $PR[x]$ .

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $Q$  is a  $G$ -ideal. Then there exists a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R[x][y] = R[x, y]$  that contracts to  $Q$  (Theorem 27). Thus  $M \cap R = P$ . By the generalization of Theorem 27 that we showed in an earlier homework,  $P$  is a  $G$ -ideal. Clearly  $PR[x] \subseteq Q$ . If they are equal, then  $R/PR[x] \cong (R/P)[x]$  is a  $G$ -domain. But this contradicts Theorem 21 which states that if you adjoin an indeterminate to any ring, what you get is NOT a  $G$ -domain.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -ideal of  $R$  and assume that  $Q$  properly contains  $PR[x]$ . To show that  $Q$  is a  $G$ -ideal, it suffices to show that there exists a  $u \in R[x] \setminus Q$  such that  $u \in Q'$  for every prime ideal  $Q'$  of  $R[x]$  that properly contains  $Q$  (Theorem 19). Since  $P$  is a  $G$ -ideal, there exists  $u \in R \setminus P$  such that  $u \in P'$  for prime ideal  $P'$  of  $R$  that properly contains  $P$ . We have the chain  $PR[x] \subset Q \subset Q'$  of three distinct prime ideals of  $R[x]$ . Notice that  $Q'$  cannot contract to  $P$  since there cannot be a chain of three primes of  $R[x]$  that contract to the same prime of  $R$  (Theorem 37). Hence  $Q' \cap R$  strictly contains  $P$  and so  $u \in Q' \cap R \subset Q'$ . Thus  $u$  is in every prime ideal of  $R[x]$  that strictly contains  $Q$ .

3. (1) Let  $I$  be a decomposable ideal of  $R$  and let  $P$  be a maximal element of the set  $\{(I : x)\}$  for  $x \notin I$ . Show that  $P$  is prime.

**Proof:** Let  $P = (I : y)$  be maximal in the set above. Suppose that  $ab \in P$ , but  $b \notin P$ . We must show that  $a \in P$ . Since  $b \notin P$ ,  $by \notin I$ . Additionally, as  $P_y \subseteq I$ , we must have  $Pby \subseteq I$ . In other words  $P \subseteq (I : by)$ . But  $P$  is a maximal element of this set. Hence  $P = (I : by)$ . On the other hand by assumption  $aby \in I$  ( $ab \in P$ ). Thus  $a \in (I : by) = P$ .

4. (2) Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and let  $S = 1 + I$ . First show that  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed set, and then show that  $I_S$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $R_S$  ( $J(R_S)$ ).

**Proof:** It is straightforward to show that  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed set. For the second part note that  $I_S$  consists of all elements of  $R_S$  whose numerator is an element of the (image) of  $I$ . Since the image of  $I$  generates the ideal  $I_S$ , it suffices to show that this image is contained in  $J(R_S)$ . Recall that in any ring, an element  $x$  is in the Jacobson radical iff  $1 - rx$  is a unit of the ring for all  $r$  in the ring. Back to our case. Let  $i \in I$  and  $r \in R$  and  $s \in S$ . We must show that  $1 + (\frac{i}{1})(\frac{r}{s}) = \frac{s+ir}{s}$  is a unit of  $R_S$ . But  $s = 1 + t$  for some  $t \in I$ . Hence the numerator of the element is  $s + ir = 1 + t + ir$ . Since  $t + ir \in I$ , it follows that the numerator is an element of  $S$  and whence a unit of  $R_S$ . Thus the whole fraction is a unit and we are done.