

- (1) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p$  is a prime number. Show that  $R$  is injective as a module over itself.

**Proof:** We use Baer's criterion. First note that all ideals of  $R$  are subgroups of  $R$ . Hence the ideals are linearly ordered and principal. Moreover each ideal is generated by  $\bar{p}^k$  for some  $k < n$  (here  $\bar{p}$  denotes the image of  $p$  in  $R$ ). Let  $f : I \rightarrow R$  be an  $R$  homomorphism. We have to show that  $f$  can be extended to a map  $\hat{f} : R \rightarrow R$ . Notice that  $\text{ann}(\bar{p}^k) = (\bar{p}^{n-k})$ . Thus, if  $a = f(\bar{p}^k)$ , it follows that  $\text{ann}(a) \supseteq (\bar{p}^{n-k})$ . In particular  $a$  is an element of the form  $u\bar{p}^t$ , where  $u$  is a unit of  $R$  and  $t \geq k$ . Thus define  $\hat{f}$  on all of  $R$  by sending  $1 \mapsto u\bar{p}^{t-k}$ . Hence we see that every map from an ideal of  $R$  to  $R$  extends to all of  $R$ . Thus by Baer we are done.

- (2) (3.31) (i) Let  $P$  be the set of all prime numbers. Prove that  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$  is the torsion subgroup of  $\prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proof:** The key to this problem and the next is to note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , either  $n$  is a unit or zero in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . First let  $t \in \bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be the coordinates where  $t$  is not zero. Let  $n$  be the product of these prime numbers. Then  $nt = 0$ . Thus  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \tau(\prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . For the reverse direction. Let  $x \in \tau(\prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Then  $nx = 0$  some integer  $n$ . Let  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be the set of prime divisors of  $n$ . If  $x$  is not in the direct sum, then there is a prime  $q \notin S$ , such that the  $q$ th coordinate of  $x$ ,  $x_q$ , is not zero. But then  $nx_q \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Thus we have containment in the reverse direction - done.

- (3) (3.31) (ii) Prove that  $M = \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p / \bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a divisible abelian group.

**Proof:** Let  $0 \neq x = (x_q) \in M$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be the set of prime divisors of  $n$ . Notice that for  $q \in P \setminus S$ ,  $n$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Let  $y = (y_q) \in M$  be defined coordinate wise as  $y_q = n^{-1}x_q \bmod q$  for  $q \in P \setminus S$ , while  $y_q = 0$  otherwise (note we do not care what happens to  $y$  at a finite number of coordinates). Thus  $ny = x$  - done.

- (4) (3.39) (i) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a flat but not faithfully flat  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules.

**Proof:** Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion free and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion free assures us it is flat. We also know that since it is divisible,  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n = 0$  for any  $n$ . Hence it is not faithfully flat.

- (5) (3.39) (ii) Prove that an abelian group  $G$  is a faithfully flat  $\mathbb{Z}$  module if and only if it is torsion free and  $pG \neq G$  for all primes  $p$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $G$  is faithfully flat. Then since  $R$  is a domain,  $G$  is torsion free. The map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  via multiplication by  $p$  is a monomorphism. As  $G$  is faithfully flat (and so torsion free) we have the diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & G \otimes \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & G \otimes \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{p} & G & \rightarrow & G/pG & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the first two horizontal maps are isomorphisms, and so the last horizontal map is an isomorphism (five lemma). Since  $G$  is faithfully flat  $G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . Hence  $G/pG \neq 0$ , equivalently  $pG \neq G$ .

Conversely, let  $G$  be a torsion free abelian group such that  $pG \neq G$  for all primes  $p$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, any torsion free module is flat. In particular  $G$  is flat. Hence we only need show that  $G \otimes M \neq 0$  for all nonzero abelian groups  $M$ . Since  $M \neq 0$ ,  $M$  contains a nonzero cyclic abelian group  $H$ . Moreover any cyclic abelian group maps onto the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some  $p$  a prime number. Since  $G$  is flat and  $pG \neq G$ , it follows from the above diagram that  $G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \neq 0$ . But then  $G \otimes H$  maps onto the nonzero module  $G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  (by general tensor facts). Thus  $G \otimes H \neq 0$ . As  $G$  is flat,  $G \otimes H \subseteq G \otimes M$ , which implies that the latter module is nonzero and we are done.

- (6) Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Show that an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is invertible if and only if it is projective as a module over  $R$ .

**Proof:** First suppose that  $I$  is projective. We want to show that  $1 \in II^{-1}$ . Let  $\{\phi_k\}_{k \in K}$  and  $\{a_k\}_{k \in K} \subset I$  be a projective basis of  $I$ . Then, since  $Q := \text{Frac}(R)$  is an injective  $R$ -module, each  $\phi_k$  can be extended to map  $R \rightarrow Q$ . But each such map is multiplication by some  $q_k \in Q$  (just see where 1 maps to). Thus  $\phi_k(x) = q_k x$  for all  $x \in I$ . Hence  $q_k \in I^{-1}$  for all  $k$ . Moreover, by the definition of a projective basis, it follows that  $q_k \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $k$  (why is that?) Thus  $\{\phi_k\}_{k \in K}$  is finite. Then by definition of a projective basis for any  $x \in I$  we have

$$x = \phi_1(x)a_1 + \dots + \phi_k(x)a_k = xq_1a_1 + \dots + xq_ka_k$$

We can then factor out the  $x$  on the right side and cancel (we are in a domain after all) to get

$$1 = q_1a_1 + q_2a_2 + \dots + q_ka_k$$

Since  $q_j \in I^{-1}$  and  $a_j \in I$  we are done with this direction.

For the converse, suppose that  $I$  is invertible. Say  $1 = q_1a_1 + \dots + q_ka_k$  for  $q_j \in I^{-1}$  and  $a_j \in I$ . It follows that multiplication by each  $q_j$  defines a  $\phi_j : I \rightarrow R$  (the image is in  $R$ , since  $q_j \in I^{-1}$ ). It is now easy to see (check!) that the sets  $\{\phi_j\}$  and  $\{a_j\}$  form a projective basis for  $I$ , which completes the proof.