## MATH 316 – HOMEWORK 1 – SOLUTIONS

## Exercise 5.7.

## Solution:

(a) We must show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \epsilon/r$ . If  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta = \epsilon/r$ ,  $r|x_1 - x_2| < \epsilon$ . But by hypothesis,  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \le r|x_1 - x_2| < \epsilon$ .

(b) This can be done formally by induction. If n = 1 then  $|x_2 - x_1| = |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \le r|x_1 - x_0|$  as required. Let n be given and suppose that  $|x_{n+1} - x_n| \le r^n |x_1 - x_0|$ . We must show that  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le r^{n+1} |x_1 - x_0|$ . By definition,

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| = |f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)| \le r|x_{n+1} - x_n| \le r \cdot r^n |x_1 - x_0| = r^{n+1} |x_1 - x_0|$$

as required.

(c) We must show that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an N such that if  $n, m \ge N$  then  $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$ . First of all, we can assume with out loss of generality that  $x_1 \ne x_0$  because if  $x_1 = x_0$  then for all  $n, x_{n+1} = x_n$  and the sequence is constant, which is of course Cauchy. Assuming without loss of generality that n > m, we can write

$$|x_n - x_m| = \left| \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j) \right|$$
  

$$\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} |x_{j+1} - x_j|$$
  

$$\leq |x_1 - x_0| \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} r^j$$
  

$$= r^m |x_1 - x_0| \sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} r^j$$
  

$$\leq r^m |x_1 - x_0| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r^j$$
  

$$= r^m \frac{|x_1 - x_0|}{1 - r}.$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose N so large that if  $m \ge N$  then  $r^m < \epsilon ((1-r)/|x_1 - x_0|)$ . This can be done since  $r^m \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . Therefore, if  $n, m \ge N$  then in particular  $m \ge N$  so that by the above calculation,  $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$  as required.

(d) Since the sequence  $x_n$  is Cauchy it converges so let  $p = \lim x_n$ . Since f is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $f(p) = \lim f(x_n) = \lim x_{n+1} = p$ . If it is not clear to you that  $\lim x_{n+1} = \lim x_n$  you should show it by going to the definition of limit.

(e) Suppose that f(p) = f(q). By our assumption on f, |p-q| = |f(p) - f(q)| < r|p-q|. If  $p \neq q$ , we can divide both sides of the inequality by |p-q| and obtain 1 < r contradicting our assumption that r < 1. Therefore, p = q.

2. Exercise 5.8.

## Solution:

(a). Assume that L > 0. Since  $\lim(x_n/y_n) = L > 0$ , there is an N such that if  $n \ge N$  then  $|(x_n/y_n) - L| < L/2$ . This implies that  $L/2 < |x_n/y_n| < 3L/2$ (just apply the definition of |a| < b), or that  $(L/2)|y_n| < |x_n| < (3L/2)|y_n|$ . If y is summable, then since  $y_k > 0$ ,  $|y_k|$  is summable and by Exercise 5.6(b),  $(3L/2)|y_n|$  is summable. By Theorem 5.2.1,  $|x_k| = x_k$  is also summable. If x is summable, then since  $x_k > 0$ ,  $|x_k|$  is summable and by Exercise 5.6(b),  $(2/L)|y_n|$  is summable. Since  $|y_k| \le (2/L)|x_k|$ , by Theorem 5.2.1,  $|y_k| = y_k$  is also summable.

(b). Assume that  $L \ge 0$ . If L > 0 then part (a) implies that if y is summable then x is summable. If L = 0, then there is an N such that if  $n \ge N$  then  $|x_n/y_n| < 1$ , or  $|x_n| < |y_n|$ . Since both  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  are non-negative,  $0 \le x_k \le y_k$ for all  $k \ge N$ . By Theorem 5.2.1, if y is summable then x is summable.