Thin Fluid Films over Thin Porous Layers

#### Kumnit Nong Advisor: Dr. Daniel M. Anderson \*\*\*

#### **NSF-URCM**

Undergraduate Research in Computational Mathematics George Mason University Department of Mathematical Sciences

#### April 5, 2008

# What are Thin Fluid Films?

- Thin fluid films are:
  - Tear film on the exterior surface of a contact lens
  - Fluid layers thickness is much less than the lateral extent
  - Nanometer to micrometer in thickness
- Another is the contact lens that mathematically is represented as porous layers (ref. Raad and Sabau)



# What are Thin Fluid Films?

- Thin fluid films are:
  - Tear film on the exterior surface of a contact lens
  - Fluid layers thickness is much less than the lateral extent
  - Nanometer to micrometer in thickness
- Another is the contact lens that mathematically is represented as porous layers (ref. Raad and Sabau)



\*\*\*\*\* Goal of the Study \*\*\*\*\*

 The most common disease, Dry Eye Sydrome in eye care industry

• Dry Contact Lens that causes irritation on the eye. Image courtesy of: http://www.emedicinehealth.com

## Introduction to Tear Films



Image courtesy of: http://www.naturecoasteye.com

## Introduction to Tear Films



Image courtesy of: http://www.naturecoasteye.com

#### References:

- 1. D.Sullivan, D. Dartt, M. Meneray
- 2. Nichols, Chiappino, Dowson, M.D
- 3. P.E. Raad and A.S. Sabau

## Construct Dry Eye Model



## Introduction to Thin Films

- Identify the behavior of thin flud films with respect to time
- Factors: gravity, pressure of a porous layer, the presence of a porous layer underneath the thin liquid film and more...

## Introduction to Thin Films

- Identify the behavior of thin flud films with respect to time
- Factors: gravity, pressure of a porous layer, the presence of a porous layer underneath the thin liquid film and more...

#### NOTE:

We examine a modification of the Braun & Fitt (BF) Equation

- Standard Case :
  - \*\* non-slip on the pore boundary
- Beavers Joseph Boundary Condition Case (BJ) :
   \*\* slip on the pore boundary
- Le Bars & Worster Boundary Condition Case (LW) : \*\* slip into the pore boundary

### Impact on the boundary

# Thin Film Model on Porous Layer



$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ f(h) * \left( \frac{1}{Ca} \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial x^3} - Gy \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + Gx \sin \theta \right) \right\}$$

\*\*\* Note: Evaporation effect is not included \*\*\*

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ f(h) * \left( \frac{1}{Ca} \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial x^3} - Gy \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + Gx \sin \theta \right) \right\}$$
\*\*\* Note: Evaporation effect is not included \*\*\*
• Standard Case



$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ f(h) * \left( \frac{1}{Ca} \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial x^3} - Gy \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + Gx \sin \theta \right) \right\}$$
\*\*\* Note: Evaporation effect is not included \*\*\*

• Standard Case

$$f_{Standard} = \frac{h^3}{3} + Da(h+H)$$

Beavers-Joseph

$$f_{BJ} = \frac{h^3}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{Da}}{\alpha}h^2 + Da(h+H)$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ f(h) * \left( \frac{1}{Ca} \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial x^3} - Gy \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + Gx \sin \theta \right) \right\}$$
\*\*\* Note: Evaporation effect is not included \*\*\*

Standard Case

$$f_{Standard} = \frac{h^3}{3} + Da(h+H)$$

Beavers-Joseph

$$\frac{h^3}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{Da}}{\alpha}h^2 + Da(h+H)$$

• Le Bars & Worster

 $f_{BI} =$ 

#### Interests

#### Specific cases

1. Verification method, we compare data to the result of  $\mathsf{BF}$  equation

$$\frac{h^3}{BF} = \frac{h^3}{3}$$

#### Specific cases

1. Verification method, we compare data to the result of  $\mathsf{BF}$  equation

$$f_{BF} = \frac{h^3}{3}$$

- 2. Darcy-Weisbach coefficient (Da) effects
- \* Ratio of pore scale radius to film thickness

#### Specific cases

1. Verification method, we compare data to the result of BF equation

$$f_{BF} = \frac{h^3}{3}$$

- 2. Darcy-Weisbach coefficient (Da) effects
- \* Ratio of pore scale radius to film thickness
- 3. Slip of fluid velocity at the liquid/porous interface \*\*\*  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$

#### Specific cases

1. Verification method, we compare data to the result of BF equation

$$f_{BF} = \frac{h^3}{3}$$

- 2. Darcy-Weisbach coefficient (Da) effects
- \* Ratio of pore scale radius to film thickness
- 3. Slip of fluid velocity at the liquid/porous interface \*\*\*  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$
- 4. The gravity effect for  $G = \frac{1}{4}$ Specifically at  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (up-right posistion)

### Numerical Method



- Spatial Derivatives Representation
- Half-step scheme for third and forth order derivative

## Numerical Method

#### • Finite difference method

- Spatial Derivatives Representation
- Half-step scheme for third and forth order derivative

#### Taylor series

One sided-derivative approximation
 boundary condition

## Numerical Method

#### • Finite difference method

- Spatial Derivatives Representation
- Half-step scheme for third and forth order derivative

#### Taylor series

One sided-derivative approximation
 boundary condition

#### Matlab Simulation

• ODE solvers - ode23s, ode45

## Main Result

• Standard case vs. Braun Fitt Study

• Time increases when Da= 0 vs. Da=  $10^{-5}$ , H= 100, and G= 0





• Time increases when Da= 0 vs. Da=  $10^{-5}$ , H= 100, and G= 0



• This movie is demonstrate the behavior of the surface of the thin fluid film over time (t =100).

- Standard Boundary Condition
  - Variation of Da and H=100



• Standard Boundary Condition

• Variation of H and Da =  $10^{-5}$ 



• Beavers-Joseph Boundary Condition

• Variation  $1/\alpha$ , Da=  $10^{-5}$  and H= 100



• Le Bars & Worster Boundary Condition

• Variation  $\delta$ , Da= 10<sup>-5</sup>, and H= 100



• Standard case  $G = \frac{1}{4}$  when time t is vary



The movie is demonstrate the effect of gravity  $G = \frac{1}{4}$  at  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ • Da= 10<sup>-5</sup>, H= 100, and t = 100

• Take a closer look when we compare it to G = 0 and vary Da



• Now, we compare it to G = 0 and vary H



For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

time increased



For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

• time increased



• the Darcy number(*Da*) **increases** Note : ratio of pore scale radius of contact lens to the fluid film thickness

For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

• time increased



• the Darcy number(*Da*) **increases** Note : ratio of pore scale radius of contact lens to the fluid film thickness

• the contact lens thickness(H) increases

For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

• time increased



• the Darcy number(*Da*) **increases** Note : ratio of pore scale radius of contact lens to the fluid film thickness

the contact lens thickness(H) increases

 the length of slip impact on the pore boundary(1/α) is increasing

For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

• time increased



- the Darcy number(*Da*) **increases** Note : ratio of pore scale radius of contact lens to the fluid film thickness
- the contact lens thickness(H) increases
- the length of slip impact on the pore boundary(1/α) is increasing
- the depth of slip impact into the pore boundary( $\delta$ ) is getting **deeper**

For all equations, the simulated models of the films start to **RUPTURE** as **ANY** of the following happen:

• time increased



- the Darcy number(*Da*) **increases** Note : ratio of pore scale radius of contact lens to the fluid film thickness
- the contact lens thickness(H) increases
- the length of slip impact on the pore boundary(1/α) is increasing
- the depth of slip impact into the pore boundary(δ) is getting deeper
- the gravity(G) is slower the rate of film rupture.

#### Why do these models help people like you and me?

• It helps us understand that both theoretical and practical behavior of the fluid films when contact lens is present.

#### Why do these models help people like you and me?

- It helps us understand that both theoretical and practical behavior of the fluid films when contact lens is present.
  - Also, the pore radius, thickness, and slip impact of the permeable lens speed up the rate of film thinning. These show that with an improper use of contact lens, it leads to dry eyes.

#### Why do these models help people like you and me?

- It helps us understand that both theoretical and practical behavior of the fluid films when contact lens is present.
  - Also, the pore radius, thickness, and slip impact of the permeable lens speed up the rate of film thinning. These show that with an improper use of contact lens, it leads to dry eyes.
- The gravity increases the lifetime of the film, but all other effects still play a major role on rupture of the film.

#### Why do these models help people like you and me?

- It helps us understand that both theoretical and practical behavior of the fluid films when contact lens is present.
  - Also, the pore radius, thickness, and slip impact of the permeable lens speed up the rate of film thinning. These show that with an improper use of contact lens, it leads to dry eyes.
- The gravity increases the lifetime of the film, but all other effects still play a major role on rupture of the film.
- Using the right properties of contact lens can maximize the lifetime of the fluid films.

#### Image courtesy of www.targetwoman.com

### Future Works

- Improve the numerical scheme, using Spectral Method
- May also convert to FORTRAN code for faster computation
- Optimization on the effect of variables to the realistic value

## Future Works

- Improve the numerical scheme, using Spectral Method
- May also convert to FORTRAN code for faster computation
- Optimization on the effect of variables to the realistic value



## Thank You

- Dr. Daniel M. Anderson
- GMU-URCM Team and Staff
- NSF CSUMS Program grant NSF DMS-0639300.
- GMU Mathematics Department
- College of William and Mary



#### References

- R.J. Braun and A.D. Fitt, Modeling the drainage of the precorneal tear film after a blink. *Math. Med. Biol.* 20 1–28 (2003).
- V.S. Ajaev & G.M. Homsy, Steady vapor bubbles in rectangular microchannels. *J. Colloid Int. Sci.* **240** 259–271 (2001).
- V.S. Ajaev, Spreading of thin volatile liquid droplets on uniformly heated surfaces. *J. Fluid Mech.* **528** 279–296 (2005).
- P.E. Raad & A.S. Sabau, Dynamics of a Gas Permeable Contact Lens During Blinking. J. Applied Mech. 63 411–418 (1996).
- M.V. Monticelli, A. Chauhan & C.J. Radke, The effect of water hydraulic permeability on the settling of a soft contact lens on the eye. *Curr. Eye Res.* **30** 329–336 (2005).

- F. Fornasiero, J.M. Prausnitz & C.J. Radke, Post-lens tear-film depletion due to evaporative dehydration of a soft contact lens. *J. Membrane Sci.* **275** 229–243 (2006).
- D.F. Sweeney, The Max Schapero Memorial Award Lecture 2004: Contact lenses on and in the cornea, what the eye needs, *Optometry Vision Sci.* 83 133–142 (2006).
- P.E. Raad & A.S. Sabau, Blink-induced motion of a gas-permeable contact-lens. *Optometry Vision Sci.* 72 378–386 (1995).