A description of a math circle set of activities around polynomials, especially interpolation.

Bob Sachs

Department of Mathematical Sciences George Mason University Fairfax, Virginia 22030

rsachs@gmu.edu

August 8, 2011

 Genesis of the idea – teaching numerical analysis at magnet high school – a standard topic is polynomial interpolation. Running a circle for students and planning one for teachers too.

- Genesis of the idea teaching numerical analysis at magnet high school – a standard topic is polynomial interpolation. Running a circle for students and planning one for teachers too.
- Lots of interesting aspects: Newton used a divided difference table (a contentless version of which seems to propagate in high school curriculum) – ties into discrete calculus.

- Genesis of the idea teaching numerical analysis at magnet high school – a standard topic is polynomial interpolation. Running a circle for students and planning one for teachers too.
- Lots of interesting aspects: Newton used a divided difference table (a contentless version of which seems to propagate in high school curriculum) – ties into discrete calculus.
- Lagrange version finds dual basis to evaluation at points not as useful in practice, especially due to updating issue.

- Genesis of the idea teaching numerical analysis at magnet high school – a standard topic is polynomial interpolation. Running a circle for students and planning one for teachers too.
- Lots of interesting aspects: Newton used a divided difference table (a contentless version of which seems to propagate in high school curriculum) – ties into discrete calculus.
- Lagrange version finds dual basis to evaluation at points not as useful in practice, especially due to updating issue.
- I ended up rethinking the Newton idea as a recursive algorithm, which led to another set of topics tied to divisibility of p(x) p(a) by x a a wide ranging set of related ideas!

 Had a student pick a polynomial of fixed degree with coefficients bounded by some number (group chose it). Had to have linear factors with integer coefficients. Others had to guess it by asking for values at given inputs of their choice.

- Had a student pick a polynomial of fixed degree with coefficients bounded by some number (group chose it). Had to have linear factors with integer coefficients. Others had to guess it by asking for values at given inputs of their choice.
- They were not so great at the game, but had some fun. I hoped two questions spontaneously arise: what is the least number of values needed to pin down the mystery polynomial? How would you actually express it?

- Had a student pick a polynomial of fixed degree with coefficients bounded by some number (group chose it). Had to have linear factors with integer coefficients. Others had to guess it by asking for values at given inputs of their choice.
- They were not so great at the game, but had some fun. I hoped two questions spontaneously arise: what is the least number of values needed to pin down the mystery polynomial? How would you actually express it?
- The older kids eventually went to some sytem of linear equations for coefficients of polynomial, ended up with a Vandermonde matrix – couldn't quite convince themselves it was invertible without some help.

- Had a student pick a polynomial of fixed degree with coefficients bounded by some number (group chose it). Had to have linear factors with integer coefficients. Others had to guess it by asking for values at given inputs of their choice.
- They were not so great at the game, but had some fun. I hoped two questions spontaneously arise: what is the least number of values needed to pin down the mystery polynomial? How would you actually express it?
- The older kids eventually went to some sytem of linear equations for coefficients of polynomial, ended up with a Vandermonde matrix – couldn't quite convince themselves it was invertible without some help.
- Didn't quite get to determinant of it which is linked to Lagrange form. Never hit on recursive idea – which is Newton (slight variant of usual table).

• Yes, in that they had fun, were trying things, thinking.

- Yes, in that they had fun, were trying things, thinking.
- No, in terms of getting to the deeper mathematics

- Yes, in that they had fun, were trying things, thinking.
- No, in terms of getting to the deeper mathematics
- Looking for some suggestions the factored part should have led to deeper insights by factoring the values (How do the factors grow so if factoring $4x^2 + Bx + C$ either both have 2x or one is an x and the other a 4x, should be easy to see which).

- Yes, in that they had fun, were trying things, thinking.
- No, in terms of getting to the deeper mathematics
- Looking for some suggestions the factored part should have led to deeper insights by factoring the values (How do the factors grow so if factoring $4x^2 + Bx + C$ either both have 2x or one is an x and the other a 4x, should be easy to see which).
- Schools often have a bad version of this for rational roots, given the polynomial.

The idea of divisibility - look at

$$P(x) = P(a) + (P(x) - P(a))$$

and use it

The idea of divisibility - look at

$$P(x) = P(a) + (P(x) - P(a))$$

and use it

This leads to Newton interpolation using nested form of polynomial (recursive solution), namely use $P(x_1)$ at first interpolation point, then factor form of $P(x) - P(x_1)$ leads to recursion (values at remaining points for adjusted polynomial form).

The idea of divisibility - look at

$$P(x) = P(a) + (P(x) - P(a))$$

and use it

This leads to Newton interpolation using nested form of polynomial (recursive solution), namely use $P(x_1)$ at first interpolation point, then factor form of $P(x) - P(x_1)$ leads to recursion (values at remaining points for adjusted polynomial form).

Difference quotient comes into play – here automatically nice since polynomials, but an entry into calculus!

$$P(x) = P(x_1) + (x - x_1) \cdot P_1(x)$$

where P_1 takes on values

$$P(x) = P(x_1) + (x - x_1) \cdot P_1(x)$$

where P_1 takes on values

$$P_1(x_j) = \frac{P(x_j) - P(x_1)}{x_j - x_1}$$
 for $j = 2, 3, ..., n$

A new interpolation problem, one degree lower, one fewer point.

Interpolation done recursively – next level

$$P(x) = P(x_1) + (x - x_1) \cdot (P_1(x_2) + (x - x_2) \cdot P_{12}(x))$$

where P_{12} takes on values

Interpolation done recursively - next level

$$P(x) = P(x_1) + (x - x_1) \cdot (P_1(x_2) + (x - x_2) \cdot P_{12}(x))$$

where P_{12} takes on values

$$P_{12}(x_j) = \frac{P_1(x_j) - P_1(x_2)}{x_j - x_2}$$
 for $j = 3, 4, ..., n$

A newer interpolation problem, one degree lower, one fewer point.

Interpolation done recursively - next level

$$P(x) = P(x_1) + (x - x_1) \cdot (P_1(x_2) + (x - x_2) \cdot P_{12}(x))$$

where P_{12} takes on values

$$P_{12}(x_j) = \frac{P_1(x_j) - P_1(x_2)}{x_j - x_2}$$
 for $j = 3, 4, ..., n$

A newer interpolation problem, one degree lower, one fewer point. Continuing on leads to a nested form solution of the interpolation problem. Naturally there are some good questions to ask: order of points, uniqueness, updating with an extra point/ one degree higher, might introduce idea of linear algebra – various bases for polynomials. • Recursive version breaks the symmetry of the interpolation problem.

- Recursive version breaks the symmetry of the interpolation problem.
- Usual divided difference table doesn't show all differences and has no entries like the recursive form.

- Recursive version breaks the symmetry of the interpolation problem.
- Usual divided difference table doesn't show all differences and has no entries like the recursive form.
- Recursive view with one point repeatedly leads to Taylor polynomial for a polynomial.

- Recursive version breaks the symmetry of the interpolation problem.
- Usual divided difference table doesn't show all differences and has no entries like the recursive form.
- Recursive view with one point repeatedly leads to Taylor polynomial for a polynomial.
- What about p(x) p(x 1) (finite difference but also table of values idea at any points with separation of size 1)?

Using recursive division idea with repeated use of one input value yields Taylor polynomial (for a polynomial).

Using recursive division idea with repeated use of one input value yields Taylor polynomial (for a polynomial).

Example: Let $p(x) = x^3$, done in powers of x - 1 using values at x = 1, we get:

$$x^3 - 1 = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$$
 note sum of powers

Using recursive division idea with repeated use of one input value yields Taylor polynomial (for a polynomial).

Example: Let $p(x) = x^3$, done in powers of x - 1 using values at x = 1, we get:

$$x^3 - 1 = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$$
 note sum of powers
 $x^2 + x + 1 - (1 + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 2)$

Using recursive division idea with repeated use of one input value yields Taylor polynomial (for a polynomial).

Example: Let $p(x) = x^3$, done in powers of x - 1 using values at x = 1, we get:

$$x^{3} - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{2} + x + 1) \text{ note sum of powers}$$

$$x^{2} + x + 1 - (1 + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 2)$$

$$x + 2 - (1 + 2) = x - 1$$

Using recursive division idea with repeated use of one input value yields Taylor polynomial (for a polynomial).

Example: Let $p(x) = x^3$, done in powers of x - 1 using values at x = 1, we get:

$$x^{3} - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{2} + x + 1) \text{ note sum of powers}$$

$$x^{2} + x + 1 - (1 + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1 + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 2)$$

$$x + 2 - (1 + 2) = x - 1$$

$$x^{3} - 1 = (x - 1)(3 + (x - 1)(3 + (x - 1)))$$

which is binomial / Taylor polynomial expansion of x^3 about x = 1, done purely recursively. Clearly extends to power x^n for positive integer *n*.

 Leads naturally to nested multiplication – efficient evaluation in terms of operation count

- Leads naturally to nested multiplication efficient evaluation in terms of operation count
- Also introduces for algebraic setting the slope of the secant line in a fun way.

- Leads naturally to nested multiplication efficient evaluation in terms of operation count
- Also introduces for algebraic setting the slope of the secant line in a fun way.
- Since degree decreases, get a proof of usual bad high school description of how to find degree of a polynomial from data values.

- Leads naturally to nested multiplication efficient evaluation in terms of operation count
- Also introduces for algebraic setting the slope of the secant line in a fun way.
- Since degree decreases, get a proof of usual bad high school description of how to find degree of a polynomial from data values.
- Opens up a lot of alternate questions, especially the following ones.

 How about the -1 power? Get a fun recursion that leads to geometric series of powers.

- How about the -1 power? Get a fun recursion that leads to geometric series of powers.
- How about the 1/2 power? The -1/2 power? Other negative powers?

- How about the -1 power? Get a fun recursion that leads to geometric series of powers.
- How about the 1/2 power? The -1/2 power? Other negative powers?
- Biggie: how about *f*(*x*)? Important calculus notion (proving chain rule, e.g.)

- How about the -1 power? Get a fun recursion that leads to geometric series of powers.
- How about the 1/2 power? The -1/2 power? Other negative powers?
- Biggie: how about *f*(*x*)? Important calculus notion (proving chain rule, e.g.)
- How about real numbers? Complex?

- How about the -1 power? Get a fun recursion that leads to geometric series of powers.
- How about the 1/2 power? The -1/2 power? Other negative powers?
- Biggie: how about f(x)? Important calculus notion (proving chain rule, e.g.)
- How about real numbers? Complex?
- Contemplating a session that opens with some examples, then division claim. Then tell them: do something interesting with it.

For the function f(x) = 1/x, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x} - 1 = \frac{1 - x}{x} = -(x - 1)\frac{1}{x}$$

which involves the same function we had, so it is a simple recursion to get an infinite series (our favorite, the geometric series!)

For the function f(x) = 1/x, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x} - 1 = \frac{1 - x}{x} = -(x - 1)\frac{1}{x}$$

which involves the same function we had, so it is a simple recursion to get an infinite series (our favorite, the geometric series!)

Recursively we find:

$$\frac{1}{x} = 1 - (x - 1) + (x - 1)^2 - (x - 1)^3 + \dots$$

as a purely formal algebraic process, perhaps with some motivation.

For the function $f(x) = 1/x^2$, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x^2} - 1 = \frac{1 - x^2}{x^2} = -(x - 1)\frac{1 + x}{x^2}$$

which involves both the function we have and also the previous case of 1/x.

For the function $f(x) = 1/x^2$, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x^2} - 1 = \frac{1 - x^2}{x^2} = -(x - 1)\frac{1 + x}{x^2}$$

which involves both the function we have and also the previous case of 1/x.

Recursively we find:

$$\frac{1}{x^2} = 1 - (x - 1) + 2(x - 1)^2 - 3(x - 1)^3 + \dots$$

as a purely formal algebraic process again.

Case of 1/P(x)

The last two situations can be extended (at least for the first term) as follows: For the function f(x) = 1/P(x) where *P* is a polynomial, we

find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{P(x)} - \frac{1}{P(1)} = \frac{P(1) - P(x)}{P(x)P(1)} = -(x - 1)\frac{1}{P(1)P_1(x)}$$

which now involves the new polynomial P_1 in the denominator.

The last two situations can be extended (at least for the first term) as follows: For the function f(x) = 1/P(x) where *P* is a polynomial, we

find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{P(x)} - \frac{1}{P(1)} = \frac{P(1) - P(x)}{P(x)P(1)} = -(x - 1)\frac{1}{P(1)P_1(x)}$$

which now involves the new polynomial P_1 in the denominator. Recursively for the next step we find:

$$\frac{1}{P_1(x)} - \frac{1}{P_1(1)} = \frac{P_1(1) - P_1(x)}{P_1(x)P_1(1)}$$
$$= -(x-1)\frac{1}{P_1(1)P_{11}(x)}$$

which can continue for an infinite series expansion.

R. Sachs (GMU)

Another extension is the product rule. Easiest way is to multiply the two Taylor polynomials, but otherwise it goes as follows: For the

function f(x) = P(x)Q(x) where *P* and *Q* are polynomials, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$P(x)Q(x) - P(1)Q(1) = (P(x) - P(1))Q(x) + P(1)(Q(x) - Q(1))$$

= (x - 1)(P₁(x, 1)Q(x) + P(1)Q₁(x, 1))

Another extension is the product rule. Easiest way is to multiply the two Taylor polynomials, but otherwise it goes as follows: For the

function f(x) = P(x)Q(x) where *P* and *Q* are polynomials, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$P(x)Q(x) - P(1)Q(1) = (P(x) - P(1))Q(x) + P(1)(Q(x) - Q(1))$$

= $(x - 1)(P_1(x, 1)Q(x) + P(1)Q_1(x, 1))$

which works but is not symmetric, so one might prefer a more symmetric form at the expense of more algebra.

Another extension (at least for the first term, though more in principle) as follows: For the function f(x) = N(x)/P(x) where N and P are

polynomials, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{N(x)}{P(x)} - \frac{N(1)}{P(1)} = \frac{N(x)P(1) - N(1)P(x)}{P(x)P(1)}$$

which now involves more intricate algebra which we omit. In calculus class, I prefer it as product rule of N and 1/P.

Another extension is the chain rule. This one goes nicely in factored form (one stage only): For the polynomial function f(x) = P(Q(x))

where *P* and *Q* are polynomials, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra), with b = Q(1):

$$Q(x) - Q(1) = u - b = (x - 1) Q_1(x)$$

$$P(u) - P(b) = (u - b) P_1(u, b)$$

$$P(Q(x)) - P(Q(1)) = (u - b) P_1(u, b) = (x - 1) Q_1(x) P_1(u, b)$$

Another extension is the chain rule. This one goes nicely in factored form (one stage only): For the polynomial function f(x) = P(Q(x))

where *P* and *Q* are polynomials, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra), with b = Q(1):

$$Q(x) - Q(1) = u - b = (x - 1) Q_1(x)$$

$$P(u) - P(b) = (u - b) P_1(u, b)$$

$$P(Q(x)) - P(Q(1)) = (u - b) P_1(u, b) = (x - 1) Q_1(x) P_1(u, b)$$

The limits both exist and so this is the discrete version of the chain rule:

$$\frac{P(Q(x)) - P(Q(1))}{x - 1} = Q_1(x) P_1(Q(x), b)$$

Case of $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$

For the function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\sqrt{x} - 1 = (x - 1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x} + 1}$$

Case of $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$

For the function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\sqrt{x} - 1 = (x - 1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x} + 1}$$

Next step we find:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+1} = \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+1} - \frac{1}{2})$$

which can be evaluated, bit harder algebra. This can also be viewed as inverse function difference question:

Case of $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$

For the function $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\sqrt{x}-1=(x-1)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+1}$$

Next step we find:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+1} = \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}+1} - \frac{1}{2})$$

which can be evaluated, bit harder algebra. This can also be viewed as inverse function difference question:

Let $y = f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, we find for y = 1 expansion instead(easiest algebra):

$$f_1(x) = rac{\sqrt{x}-1}{x-1} = rac{y-1}{y^2-1} = rac{1}{1+y}$$

which is of course the algebraic form of differencing the inverse function to $g(y) = y^2$.

R. Sachs (GMU)

For the function f(x) = 1/(x-1)(x-a), we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x-a} - \frac{1}{1-a} = \frac{1-x}{(x-a)(1-a)} = -(x-1)\frac{1}{(x-a)(1-a)}$$

which then leads easily to the correct partial fraction version:

For the function f(x) = 1/(x-1)(x-a), we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x-a} - \frac{1}{1-a} = \frac{1-x}{(x-a)(1-a)} = -(x-1)\frac{1}{(x-a)(1-a)}$$

which then leads easily to the correct partial fraction version:

$$\frac{1}{(x-a)(x-1)} = \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-a}$$

For the function f(x) = 1/(x - 1)(x - a), we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x-a} - \frac{1}{1-a} = \frac{1-x}{(x-a)(1-a)} = -(x-1)\frac{1}{(x-a)(1-a)}$$

which then leads easily to the correct partial fraction version:

$$\frac{1}{(x-a)(x-1)} = \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-a}$$

Similarly we can find the expansion for $\frac{x}{(x-1)(x-a)}$, so we are done for two roots!

For the function f(x) = 1/(x - 1)(x - a), we find for x = 1 expansion (easiest algebra):

$$\frac{1}{x-a} - \frac{1}{1-a} = \frac{1-x}{(x-a)(1-a)} = -(x-1)\frac{1}{(x-a)(1-a)}$$

which then leads easily to the correct partial fraction version:

$$\frac{1}{(x-a)(x-1)} = \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{1}{x-a}$$

Similarly we can find the expansion for $\frac{x}{(x-1)(x-a)}$, so we are done for two roots!

Recursively can peel off singular parts at each pole in fraction. Also works for higher order roots and for repeated quadratic factors (using a suitable generalization).

R. Sachs (GMU)

Concluding remarks

 Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.

Concluding remarks

- Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.
- Happy to work on examples need prodding to go deeper.

- Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.
- Happy to work on examples need prodding to go deeper.
- Balancing act between leading questions and open exploration I'm still learning how to do it.

Concluding remarks

- Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.
- Happy to work on examples need prodding to go deeper.
- Balancing act between leading questions and open exploration I'm still learning how to do it.
- For instance, how to balance case near x = 1 versus general location x = a; General polynomial versus particular examples; it's a fine art to extract general cases from specifices – not clear to me how to cultivate that best.

- Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.
- Happy to work on examples need prodding to go deeper.
- Balancing act between leading questions and open exploration I'm still learning how to do it.
- For instance, how to balance case near x = 1 versus general location x = a; General polynomial versus particular examples; it's a fine art to extract general cases from specifices – not clear to me how to cultivate that best.
- Improvisational math is not easy to lead, but lots of fun to experiment with!

- Students were a bit timid about conjecturing / theorizing, better in numerical realm.
- Happy to work on examples need prodding to go deeper.
- Balancing act between leading questions and open exploration I'm still learning how to do it.
- For instance, how to balance case near x = 1 versus general location x = a; General polynomial versus particular examples; it's a fine art to extract general cases from specifices – not clear to me how to cultivate that best.
- Improvisational math is not easy to lead, but lots of fun to experiment with!
- Thanks for any suggestions / advice / feedback from your experiences with these ideas