PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 132, Number 10, Pages 3069-3074 S 0002-9939(04)07443-X Article electronically published on June 2, 2004

# COHOMOLOGY OF SYMPLECTIC REDUCTIONS OF GENERIC COADJOINT ORBITS

R. F. GOLDIN AND A.-L. MARE

(Communicated by Rebecca Herb)

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  be a generic coadjoint orbit of a compact semi-simple Lie group K. Weight varieties are the symplectic reductions of  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  by the maximal torus T in K. We use a theorem of Tolman and Weitsman to compute the cohomology ring of these varieties. Our formula relies on a *Schubert basis* of the equivariant cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ , and it makes explicit the dependence on  $\lambda$ and a parameter in  $Lie(T)^* =: \mathfrak{t}^*$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a compact semisimple Lie group,  $T \subset K$  a maximal torus and  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ their Lie algebras. Pick a fundamental chamber in  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  and a point  $\lambda$  in the interior. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  be the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the coadjoint representation of K on  $\mathfrak{k}^*$ .  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  is diffeomorphic to the flag variety K/T, and it has a naturally occurring symplectic form  $\omega$  known as the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form. The action of T on  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  is Hamiltonian, which means that there is an invariant map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \to \mathfrak{t}^*$$

satisfying  $\omega(X_{\eta}, \cdot) = d\Phi^{\eta}$ , where  $\eta \in \mathfrak{t}$ ,  $X_{\eta}$  is the vector field on  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  generated by  $\eta$ , and  $\Phi^{\eta}(m) = \Phi(m)(\eta)$  is defined by the natural pairing between  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . We call  $\Phi$  a moment map for this action.

The image of  $\Phi$  is the convex hull of  $W \cdot \lambda$ , the Weyl group orbit of  $\lambda$ . Let  $\mu \in \Phi(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})$  be a regular value of  $\Phi$ . We define the symplectic reduction at  $\mu$  by

$$\Phi^{-1}(\mu)/T = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}//T(\mu).$$

The goal of this note is to give a presentation of the cohomology<sup>1</sup> ring of  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}//T(\mu)$  in terms of the root system of K. We present  $H^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}//T(\mu))$  as a quotient of the *T*-equivariant cohomology ring  $H^*_T(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})$  by a certain ideal. We rely on the following fundamental result.

©2004 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors November 8, 2002.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53D20, 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Coadjoint orbits, symplectic reduction, Schubert classes.

The first author was supported by NSF-DMS grant number 0305128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Only cohomology with coefficients in the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers will be considered throughout this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** (Kirwan). Let M be a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian T action, where T is a compact torus. If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  is a regular value of  $\Phi$ , then the restriction map in equivariant cohomology,

$$\kappa: H_T^*(M) \to H_T^*(\Phi^{-1}(\mu)),$$

is surjective.

Since the T action is locally free on level sets of the moment map at regular values,  $H_T^*(\Phi^{-1}(\mu)) = H^*(M//T(\mu))$ . The resulting map  $\kappa : H_T^*(M) \to H^*(M//T(\mu))$  is called the *Kirwan map*. Kirwan's result is of particular importance because the equivariant cohomology can be described in terms of the equivariant cohomology of the fixed point sets of the T action. In the case of isolated fixed points, this is just a sum of polynomial rings.

**Theorem 1.2** (Kirwan). Let M be a compact Hamiltonian T-space. Let  $M^T$  denote the fixed point set of the T action. The restriction map

$$i^*: H^*_T(M) \to H^*_T(M^T)$$

is injective. In the case that  $M^T$  is a finite set of points,

$$H_T^*(M^T) = \bigoplus_{p \in M^T} \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

where  $n = \dim T$ .

A presentation of the cohomology ring of the reduced space  $M//T(\mu)$  can be obtained by using the following description of the kernel of the Kirwan map, which is due to Tolman and Weitsman [TW]. If  $\alpha$  is in  $H_T^*(M)$  we denote

$$supp(\alpha) = \{ p \in M^T : \alpha|_p \neq 0 \}.$$

Fix an arbitrary inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*.$ 

**Theorem 1.3** (Tolman-Weitsman). The kernel of the Kirwan map  $\kappa$  is the ideal of  $H^*_T(M)$  generated by all  $\alpha \in H^*_T(M)$  with the property that there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  such that

$$\Phi(supp(\alpha)) \subset \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* | \langle \xi, x \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \}.$$

In other words, ker  $\kappa$  consists of sums of equivariant cohomology classes  $\alpha$  with the property that all points of  $supp(\alpha)$  are mapped by  $\Phi$  to the same side of an affine hyperplane in  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  that passes through  $\mu$ .

The *T*-equivariant cohomology ring of the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = K/T$  is well understood. Kostant and Kumar constructed in [KK] a basis  $\{x_w\}_{w\in W}$  of  $H_T^*(K/T)$ as a  $H_T^*(pt)$ -module, which we refer to as the *Schubert basis*. Let *B* be a Borel subgroup in  $G := K^{\mathbb{C}}$ , and let  $B_-$  be the opposite Borel subgroup. For any  $v \in W$ , let  $X_v = \overline{B_- vB}/B$ , where  $\tilde{v}$  is any choice of lift of  $v \in W$  in the normalizer of the torus. These opposite Schubert varieties are *T*-invariant subvarieties of  $G/B \cong K/T$ . The basis  $\{x_w\}$  is uniquely defined by the property that

$$\int_{X_v} x_w = \delta_{vw}.$$

Theorem 1.2 suggests the importance of knowing how to restrict the classes  $x_w$  to fixed points  $W \cdot \lambda$ . This formula was worked out for general K by S. Billey [Bi]. In

particular, it is easy to show that  $x_w|_v = 0$  if  $v \not\leq w$  in the Bruhat order.<sup>2</sup> In other words,

$$supp(x_w) = \{v\lambda : v \le w\}.$$

To each  $\tau \in W$  we can associate the new basis

$$\{x_w^\tau = \tau \cdot x_{\tau^{-1}w}\}_{w \in W},$$

whose elements have the property

$$supp(x_w^{\tau}) = \{v\lambda : \tau^{-1}v \le \tau^{-1}w\}.$$

Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  denote the fundamental weights associated to the chosen fundamental chamber of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . Let  $\langle , \rangle$  be the restriction to  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  of a *K*-invariant product on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.4.** The cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}//T(\mu))$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $H^*_T(K/T)$  by the ideal generated by

$$\{x_v^{\tau}: there \ exists \ j \ such \ that \ \langle \lambda_j, \tau^{-1}v\lambda \rangle \leq \langle \lambda_j, \tau^{-1}\mu \rangle \}.$$

Remark 1. One can take the description of  $H_T^*(K/T)$  (see, for instance, [Br]) and deduce a precise presentation of the cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}//T(\mu))$  in terms of generators and relations.

Remark 2. For K = SU(n) this result was proven by the first author in [Go1].

## 2. PRIMARY DESCRIPTION OF ker $\kappa$

For any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  we denote by  $f_{\xi}$  the corresponding height function on  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ ,

$$f_{\xi}(x) = \langle \xi, x \rangle.$$

Under the pairing between  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$ , the function  $f_{\xi}$  is a component of the moment map. In fact, it is well known that  $f_{\xi}$  is Morse-Bott for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ . Denote by  $C \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ the fundamental (positive) Weyl chamber, which can be described by

$$C = \{r_1\lambda_1 + \dots + r_l\lambda_l : \text{ all } r_j > 0\},\$$

and let  $\overline{C}$  be its closure.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\tau$  be in W and  $\xi$  in  $\tau \overline{C}$ . If  $\tau^{-1}v < \tau^{-1}w$  in the Bruhat order, then  $f_{\xi}(v\lambda) \leq f_{\xi}(w\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* The result follows immediately from the fact that if  $\xi \in C$ , then the unstable manifold of  $f_{\xi}$  through  $v\lambda$  with respect to the Kähler metric on

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} = K/T = G/B$$

is just the Bruhat cell  $B \cdot vB/B$  (see, for instance, [Ko]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The class  $x_w$  differs from the  $\xi^w$  constructed in [KK] by the relationship  $x_w := w_0 \cdot \xi^{w_0 w}$ , where  $w_0$  is the longest element of W.

The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $\alpha \in H^*_T(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda})$  has the property that

$$\Phi(supp(\alpha)) \subset \{ x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \langle \xi, x \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \}.$$

Then  $\alpha$  can be decomposed as

$$\alpha = \sum_{w \in W} a_w^\tau x_w^\tau$$

with  $a_w^{\tau} \in H_T^*(pt)$ , such that if  $a_w^{\tau} \neq 0$ , then

$$\Phi(supp(x_w^{\tau})) \subset \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \langle \xi, x \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \}.$$

*Proof.* Take  $\tau \in W$  such that  $\xi \in \tau \overline{C}$ . Suppose that the decomposition of  $\alpha$  with respect to the basis  $\{x_w^{\tau}\}_{w \in W}$  is of the form

(1) 
$$\alpha = \sum_{w \in W} a_w^{\tau} x_w^{\tau} + a_{v_1}^{\tau} x_{v_1}^{\tau} + \dots + a_{v_r}^{\tau} x_{v_r}^{\tau}$$

where the first sum contains only w with

$$\langle \xi, w\lambda \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle,$$

whereas

$$\langle \xi, v_j \lambda \rangle > \langle \xi, \mu \rangle, \quad a_{v_i}^{\tau} \in S(\mathfrak{t}^*), a_{v_i}^{\tau} \neq 0,$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . We may assume that  $v_1$  has the property that there exists no j > 1 with  $\tau^{-1}v_1 < \tau^{-1}v_j$ . Now let us evaluate both sides of (1) at  $v_1\lambda$ . Since

$$\langle \xi, w\lambda \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle < \langle \xi, v_1\lambda \rangle,$$

by Lemma 2.1 we must have

$$x_w^\tau|_{v_1\lambda} = 0$$

for any w corresponding to a term in the first sum in (1). It follows that

$$\alpha|_{v_1\lambda} = a_{v_1}^{\tau} x_{v_1}^{\tau}|_{v_1\lambda} \neq 0;$$

so  $v_1\lambda$  is in  $supp(\alpha)$  even though  $\langle \xi, v_1\lambda \rangle > \langle \xi, \mu \rangle$ . This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

3. Proof of the main result

We now prove Theorem 1.4. Let v and  $\tau$  in W be such that

(2) 
$$\langle \lambda_j, \tau^{-1}v\lambda \rangle \leq \langle \lambda_j, \tau^{-1}\mu \rangle$$

for some  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . We show that  $x_v^{\tau}$  is in the kernel of the Kirwan map

$$\kappa: H_T^*(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) \to H^*(\mathcal{O}_\lambda//T(\mu)).$$

Let  $\xi = \tau \lambda_j$  be in  $\tau \overline{C}$ . Note that if  $w \in supp(x_v^{\tau})$ , then  $\tau^{-1}w \leq \tau^{-1}v$  implies by Lemma 2.1 that

$$\langle \xi, w\lambda \rangle \le \langle \xi, v\lambda \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle.$$

Thus  $x_v^{\tau} \in \ker \kappa$ .

Now let us consider  $\alpha \in H^*_T(K/T)$  with the property that there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  with

$$supp(\alpha) \subset \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* | \langle \xi, x \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \}.$$

3072

Take  $\tau \in W$  such that  $\xi \in \tau \overline{C}$ . By Theorem 2.2, we can decompose  $\alpha$  as

(3) 
$$\alpha = \sum_{w \in W} a_w^{\tau} x_w^{\tau}$$

where  $a_w^{\tau}$  can be nonzero only if

$$supp(x_w^{\tau}) \subset \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* | \langle \xi, x \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle \}$$

In particular, if  $a_w^{\tau} \neq 0$ , then

(4) 
$$\langle \xi, w\lambda \rangle \le \langle \xi, \mu \rangle.$$

Since  $\xi$  is in  $\tau \overline{C}$ , it can be written as

(5) 
$$\xi = \tau \sum_{j=1}^{l} r_j \lambda_j,$$

where all  $r_j$  are nonnegative. So (4) and (5) imply that there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., l\}$  such that

$$\langle \tau \lambda_j, w \lambda \rangle \leq \langle \tau \lambda_j, \mu \rangle.$$

In other words, each nonzero term in the right-hand side of (3) is a multiple of a  $x_w^{\tau}$  of the type claimed in Theorem 1.4.

*Remark.* It follows that, in the particular situation of generic coadjoint orbits, in order to cover the whole Tolman-Weitsman kernel of the Kirwan map it is sufficient to consider affine hyperplanes through  $\mu$  that are perpendicular to vectors of the type  $\tau \lambda_j$ , with  $\tau \in W$  and  $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ . But these are just the hyperplanes parallel to the walls of the moment polytope. This result concerning a "sufficient set of hyperplanes" has been proved by the first author in [Go2], for an *arbitrary* Hamiltonian torus action on a compact manifold.

## Acknowledgement

The second author would like to thank Lisa Jeffrey for introducing him to the topic of the paper. Both authors would like to thank her for a careful reading of the manuscript and for suggesting several improvements.

#### References

- [Bi] S. Billey, Kostant polynomials and the cohomology of G/B, Duke Math. J. 96 (1999) 205-224. MR 2000a:14060
- [Br] M. Brion, Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory, in Representation Theory and Algebraic Geometry, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (1998) 1-37. MR 99m:14005
- [Go1] R. F. Goldin, The cohomology ring of weight varieties and polygon spaces, Adv. in Math. 160 (2001) No. 2, 175-204. MR 2002f:53139
- [Go2] R. F. Goldin, An effective algorithm for the cohomology ring of symplectic reductions, Geom. and Funct. Anal., Vol. 12 (2002), 567–583. MR 2003m:53148
- [Ki] F. C. Kirwan, Cohomology of Quotients in Complex and Algebraic Geometry, Mathematical Notes 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1984). MR 86i:58050
- [Ko] R. R. Kocherlakota, Integral homology of real flag manifolds and loop spaces of symmetric spaces, Adv. in Math. 110 (1995) no. 1, 1-46. MR 96a:57066

- [KK] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G, Adv. in Math. 62 (1986) no. 3, 187-237. MR 88b:17025b
- [TW] S. Tolman and J. Weitsman, The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients Comm. Anal. Geom. 11 (2003), no. 4, 751–773.

Mathematical Sciences, George Mason University, MS 3F2, 4400 University Dr., Fairfax, Virginia22030

*E-mail address*: rgoldin@gmu.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ${\rm M5S}$  3G3

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{amareQmath.toronto.edu}$ 

3074