## Math 678. Homework 3 Solutions.

## \#1

We need to derive the formula for the solution of the IVP

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t}-\Delta u+c u & =f . \\
u & \text { in } \quad
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty), \text { on } \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{t=0\}
$$

Some observations: (1) the solution to the non-homogeneous problem can be obtained from the solution to the homogeneous problem via Duhamel's principle; (2) if the term $c u$ is not present, we know the exact solution to this IVP, (3) $u_{t}+c u=0$ is equivalent to $e^{c t} u_{t}+c e^{c t} u=0$ which converts to $\left(e^{c t} u\right)_{t}=0$ and leads to $u=C e^{-c t}$ as a solution (should remind you of an integrating factor technique).

From the above observations, we see that a good way to proceed is to multiply both sides by the function $e^{c t}$. This gives us:

$$
e^{c t} u_{t}+c e^{c t} u-e^{c t} \Delta u=e^{c t} f
$$

Since $\Delta\left(e^{c t} u\right)=e^{c t} \Delta u$, the above can be written as

$$
\left(e^{c t} u\right)_{t}-\Delta\left(e^{c t} u\right)=e^{c t} f
$$

and hence this converts into a regular heat equation formulation in terms of $e^{c t} u$, with non-homogeneous right hand side. The initial condition is unchanged, since $\left(e^{c t} u\right)(x, 0)=u(x, 0)=g(x)$. So now we can use Duhamel's principle and write the exact solution for this modified IVP as:

$$
e^{c t} u(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y, t) g(y) d y+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y, t-s) e^{c s} f(y, s) d y d s
$$

The solution to the original IVP then follows:

$$
u(x, t)=e^{-c t}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y, t) g(y) d y+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y, t-s) e^{c s} f(y, s) d y d s\right]
$$

## \#2

Now let us consider the usual heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ in 1 d with $u(x, t)$ being a solution.
(a) Take $v(x, t)=u(x-y, t)$. How does one show this is also a solution? The easiest way is to plug it into the equation. First we need to use chain rule and compute partial derivatives: $v_{t}=(u(x-y, t))_{t}=u_{t}(x-y, t), v_{x}=u_{x}(x-y, t)$, relying on the fact that $(x-y)_{x}=1$. Since $u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $v_{t}=v_{x x}$. Notice that if we had an IVP originally with $u(x, 0)=g(x)$, the initial condition for $v$ would be $h^{y}(x)=g(x-y)$, and the formula for the solution using fundamental function $\Phi(x, t)$ would give us:

$$
u(x-y, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(x-y-s, t) h^{y}(s) d s
$$

where $s^{\prime}=y+s$
(b) For any derivative $D^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ is a multiindex, and the derivative is taken either in $t$ or in $x$, we have $\left(D^{\alpha} u\right)_{t}=D^{\alpha} u_{t}=D^{\alpha} u_{x x}=\left(D^{\alpha} u\right)_{x x}$ since derivatives commute. it follows that any derivative of the solution of the heat equation is again a solution.
(c) $(a u+b v)_{t}=a u_{t}+b v_{t}=a u_{x x}+b v_{x x}=(a u+b v)_{x x}$, hence $a u+b v$ is again a solution, if $u, v$ are solutions.
(d) This follows from the fact that the operations of integration and differentiation are commutative:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_{0}^{x} u(y, t) d y\right)=\int_{0}^{x} u_{t}(y, t) d y=\int_{0}^{x} u_{x x}(y, t) d y=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{x} u(y, t) d y\right)
$$

(e) Let $v(x, t)=u(\sqrt{a} x, a t)$. Then $v_{t}(x, t)=a v(x, t), v_{x}(x, t)=\sqrt{a} v(x, t), v_{x x}=$ $a v(x, t)$. It follows that $v_{t}=v_{x x}$.

## \#3

The easiest example of a Dirichlet problem with no solution can be constructed as follows. Let $U_{T}=U \times(0, T)$ and $\Sigma=\bar{U}_{T}-U_{T}$ be the boundary of this cylinder including the top, bottom and the sides, while denoting $\Gamma_{T}$ to be the parabolic boundary comprised of the bottom and vertical sides only. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t}-\Delta u=0, & \text { in } \quad U_{T} \\
u=f, & \text { on } \quad \Sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $u \in C_{1}^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \cap C\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ solves this IVP. Then it should satisfy the weak maximum principle, namely

$$
\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u(x, t)=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)
$$

Since $u(x, t)=f(x)$ on $\Gamma_{T}$, we need the solution to satisfy $\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} f$. In particular, $f(x, t=T)<\max _{\Gamma_{T}} f$, which does not hold for all continuous functions.

If we allow $t=T$ to be part of the cylinder $U_{T}=U \times(0, T]$, we need to construct a more elaborate example of a Dirichlet problem with no solution. One such example is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with deformable surface. We can push in a sharp spike at some point on this surface and assume that near the tip of the spike the surface takes the form of a conical surface obtained by rotating the curve

$$
y=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-1 / x} . & \text { for } x>0 \\
0 . & \text { for } x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

about the $x$-axis. Then we can consider heat conduction on the interior of the deformed ball defined this way, called $\Omega$. If the temperature distribution on $\partial \Omega$ is given by a continuous function $f$ which is equal to zero at points of the spike
and is equal to a large positive constant temperature $T$ at points away from the spike, the seady state temperature $u(x)$ should be close to $T$ for all $x$ in $\Omega$. But this is impossible, since $u(x)$ won't be able to approach the zero temperature as $x$ approaches the spike from within of $\Omega$. Basically, the spike doe not have enough surface area to keep the temperature at surrounding points close to zero, hence the solution fails to be continuous in the closure $\bar{\Omega}$. More details about the subject are available in Helms "Introduction to potential theory" (1975).

## \#4

Consider $v(x, t)=k(x, t) u(x / t,-1 / t), t>0$. To see that this solved the heat equation, let us compute its partial derivatives, using chain rule and product rule:

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{t}(x, t)=k_{t}(x, t) u\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)+k(x, t)\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right) u_{t}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)-k(x, t)\left(\frac{x}{t^{2}}\right) u_{x}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right) \\
v_{x}(x, t)=k_{x}(x, t) u\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)+k(x, t)\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) u_{x}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right) \\
v_{x x}(x, t)=k_{x x}(x, t) u\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)+2 k_{x}(x, t)\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) u_{x}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)+k(x, t)\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right) u_{x x}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now using the fact that $k_{t}=k_{x x}, u_{t}=u_{x x}$, we can simplify this to

$$
\left.v_{t}-v_{x x}=-\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{x k(x, t)}{t}+2 k_{x}(x, t)\right)\right) u_{x}\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

Using the definition of $k(x, t)$, we can easily see that $k_{x}(x, t)=-(x / 2 t) k(x, t)$, so that $x k(x, t)+2 t k_{x}(x, t)=0$. This confirms the claim that $v(x, t)$ solves the heat equation. Since $u(x, t)$ was defined for all $t<0, s=-1 / t$ covers the domain $(0, \infty)$. In other words, $v(x, t)$ is a solution for all $t>0$.

