

Removable Singularities for \mathbb{S}^n -Harmonic Functions and Hardy Classes in Polydiscs



David Singman

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 90, Issue 2 (Feb., 1984),
299-302.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198402%2990%3A2%3C299%3ARSFFAH%3E2.0.CO%3B2-N>

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is published by American Mathematical Society. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society
©1984 American Mathematical Society

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2002 JSTOR

REMOVABLE SINGULARITIES FOR n -HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND HARDY CLASSES IN POLYDISCS

DAVID SINGMAN

ABSTRACT. Let ϕ be any strongly convex function. For an open subset G of a polydisc U^n the Hardy class $H_\phi(G)$ is the set of analytic functions f on G for which $\phi \circ \log|f|$ has an n -harmonic majorant. It is shown that $H_\phi(U^n \setminus E) = H_\phi(U^n)$ for any relatively closed n -negligible subset E of U^n .

1. Let U^n be the open unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n , and let E be a closed subset of U^n . Consider the analytic functions f on $U^n \setminus E$ such that, for some strongly convex ϕ , $\phi \circ \log|f|$ has an n -harmonic majorant on $U^n \setminus E$. Denote this set by $H_\phi(U^n \setminus E)$. With various conditions on E , the problem of showing $H_\phi(U^n \setminus E) = H_\phi(U^n)$ has been recently considered. Taking the special case of $\phi(t) = e^{pt}$, Cima and Graham [3, Theorem B] solved the problem for E an analytic subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n . This was improved by Järvi [6, Theorem 2] for E n -polar. The purpose of this note is to extend the above results by taking E to be n -negligible [9, p. 32]. This result has been proved by Cegrell [2] by entirely different means. To us, the proof we present here seems simpler and more direct. It makes systematic use of a general theorem on removable singularities for n -harmonic functions, Theorem 1 below. Our proof also works for any strongly convex ϕ .

The author would like to thank K. Gowrisankaran and I. Graham for discussions related to this work.

2. Let Ω be a BreLOT harmonic space with positive potential and a countable base for open sets [1]. Assume also constants are superharmonic. We restrict our attention now to an open subset U of Ω . Following Parreau [7] we call a nonnegative harmonic function quasi-bounded if it is the limit of a nondecreasing sequence of bounded harmonic functions, and singular if it majorizes no positive, bounded harmonic function. Then, using precisely the same argument as in, Heins [5, p. 7], where he considered harmonic functions on Riemann surfaces, we can show every positive harmonic function u can be decomposed uniquely as a sum of a quasi-bounded and a singular harmonic function $u = q + s$. Furthermore, $u \rightarrow q$, $u \rightarrow s$ are both positively homogeneous and additive. Hence u quasi-bounded or singular implies any

Received by the editors April 18, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32D20, 32A35; Secondary 31D05.

Key words and phrases. Polydisc, n -superharmonic function, H_ϕ class, BreLOT space, n -negligible set.

©1984 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

positive harmonic minorant of u has the same property. Again, as in Heins [5, p. 18], we can prove the following

LEMMA. *Let $U \subset \Omega$ be open, u subharmonic on U and $\phi: [-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ strongly convex. If $\phi \circ u$ has a superharmonic majorant on U , then its least harmonic majorant is quasi-bounded.*

COROLLARY. *If $U = \Omega \setminus P$, where P is polar, then u has a unique subharmonic extension to Ω .*

Indeed, since $x \leq \phi(x) + c$ for some c and all x , u has a harmonic, quasi-bounded majorant h on $\Omega \setminus P$. Thus there is a nondecreasing sequence h_n of nonnegative, bounded harmonic functions on $\Omega \setminus P$ converging to h . Since P is polar, each h_n extends harmonically across P [1, p. 125] and by Axiom 3 the limit is harmonic. Thus u is locally bounded near P and hence has a unique subharmonic extension to Ω [1, p. 125]. This proves the result.

3. Consider now Ω_1, Ω_2 BreLOT spaces as above. Put $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$. A function is 2-harmonic on Ω if it is continuous and separately harmonic. The 2-subharmonic and 2-superharmonic functions are defined similarly [4]. We remark that we consider 2 spaces only for the sake of convenience. All the following have obvious analogues for any finite product.

In this setting the Harnack Theorem concerning the limit of an increasing sequence of 2-harmonic functions is valid [4, p. 31]. The existence of the least 2-harmonic majorant on Ω for a 2-subharmonic function with a 2-superharmonic majorant is also valid [4, p. 40]. It follows using a similar proof that the Lemma is valid for this space and this 2-harmonic structure if we take U to be Ω . For general U the proof breaks down as we no longer have least 2-harmonic majorants.

We wish now to prove an analogue of the corollary. For this purpose the exceptional set we consider analogous to the polar set is the 2-negligible set. A set E is 2-negligible if there are polar sets P_i in $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2$, such that for all x in $\Omega_1 \setminus P_1$ the section $E_x = \{y \in \Omega_2 | (x, y) \in E\}$ is polar in Ω_2 and for all y in $\Omega_2 \setminus P_2$ the section $E^y = \{x \in \Omega_1 | (x, y) \in E\}$ is polar in Ω_1 [9]. This is more general than the sets on which 2-superharmonic functions take the value $+\infty$, the so-called 2-polar sets. For Ω the unit polydisc this is exactly the exceptional set considered by Cegrell in [2]. (For $n > 2$ the n -negligible sets are defined inductively in the obvious way.) We have the following theorem on removable singularities.

THEOREM 1 [9, THEOREM 4.9]. *Let E be a closed 2-negligible set and v a 2-superharmonic function on $\Omega \setminus E$ that is locally lower bounded near E . Then there is a unique 2-superharmonic function w on Ω such that $w = v$ on $\Omega \setminus E$. It is given by $\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} v(y), y$ in $\Omega \setminus E$.*

We have the following generalization of the Corollary.

PROPOSITION. *Let E be a closed 2-negligible set. Let u be 2-subharmonic on $\Omega \setminus E$ such that $\phi \circ u$ has a 2-superharmonic majorant v on $\Omega \setminus E$. Then u extends 2-subharmonically across E and $\phi \circ u$ has a quasi-bounded 2-harmonic majorant on Ω .*

PROOF. We may assume u is nonnegative. Indeed u^+ is 2-subharmonic, $u \leq u^+$ and $\phi \circ u^+ \leq \phi \circ u + \phi(0)$ so $\phi \circ u^+$ has a 2-superharmonic majorant on $\Omega \setminus E$. We may also assume, by Theorem 1, that v is defined and 2-superharmonic on Ω .

Let P be a G_δ polar subset of Ω_1 such that for all z in $\Omega_1 \setminus P$, E_z is polar in Ω_2 and $v(z, \cdot)$ is not identically $+\infty$ on a connected component of $\Omega_2 \setminus E_z$. Define W to be the points (x, y) of $\Omega \setminus E$ such that $v(\cdot, y)$ is not identically $+\infty$ on a connected component of $\Omega_1 \setminus E^y$ and E^y is polar. Then $\Omega \setminus W$ is clearly 2-negligible. Let now ω, δ be regular domains in Ω_1, Ω_2 , respectively. Since products of harmonic measures do not charge 2-negligible sets and u is majorized by v , it follows that $\iint u d(\rho_x^\omega \times \rho_y^\delta)$ is a 2-harmonic function of (x, y) on $\omega \times \delta$. Notice that the integration is performed on $\Omega \setminus E$. We show that this function majorizes u on $\omega \times \delta \setminus E$. By Theorem 1 we only have to verify this for (x, y) in W .

$$(1) \quad \iint u d(\rho_x^\omega \times \rho_y^\delta) = \int d\rho_x^\omega(z) \int u(z, z^1) d\rho_y^\delta(z^1) \\ \geq \int u(z, y) d\rho_x^\omega(z).$$

Indeed, since $\rho_x^\omega(P) = 0$, we may assume z is not in P so E_z is polar in Ω_2 . Thus $u(z, \cdot)$ is subharmonic and $\phi \circ u(z, \cdot)$ is majorized by the superharmonic $v(z, \cdot)$, so, by the Corollary, $u(z, \cdot)$ has a unique subharmonic extension across E_z . Inequality (1) follows since z can be assumed outside of E^y . For similar reasons we have

$$\int u(z, y) d\rho_x^\omega(z) \geq u(x, y).$$

This shows u is locally upper bounded near E . Theorem 1 then implies it extends 2-subharmonically across E and $\phi \circ u$ is majorized by v on Ω . Finally, $\phi \circ u$ has a quasi-bounded 2-harmonic majorant by the remarks at the beginning of §3. The proof is complete.

4. We can now prove the following theorem on Hardy classes of analytic functions on D .

THEOREM 2. *Let E be a closed, 2-negligible subset of U^2 . Let f be analytic on $U^2 \setminus E$ such that, for some strongly convex ϕ , $\phi \circ \log|f|$ has a 2-superharmonic majorant on $U^2 \setminus E$. Then there is an analytic F on U^2 such that $F = f$ on $U^2 \setminus E$ and $\phi \circ \log|F|$ has a 2-harmonic majorant on U^2 .*

PROOF. We assume f is not identically zero. Then $\log|f|$ is 2-subharmonic on $U^2 \setminus E$ and $\phi \circ \log|f|$ has a 2-superharmonic majorant on $U^2 \setminus E$. By the Proposition, $\log|f|$ extends 2-subharmonically across E and $\phi \circ \log|f|$ has a 2-harmonic majorant on U^2 . It follows that the real and imaginary parts g, h of f are locally bounded near E , so by Theorem 1 they have unique 2-harmonic extensions G, H across E . Since the partial derivatives of G, H are 2-harmonic and the Cauchy-Riemann equations for $F = G + iH$ are valid in $U^2 \setminus E$, they are also valid on E by Theorem 1. Finally, $\phi \circ \log|F|$ must agree with the extension of $\phi \circ \log|f|$ again by Theorem 1. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brelot, *Lectures on potential theory*, Lectures on Math., 19, Tata Inst. Fund. Research, Bombay, 1960.
2. U. Cegrell, *Removable singularity sets for analytic functions having modulus with bounded Laplace mass*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 283–286.
3. J. A. Cima and I. R. Graham, *On the extension of holomorphic functions with growth conditions across analytic subvarieties*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 241–256.
4. K. Gowrisankaran, *Multiply harmonic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **28** (1966), 27–48.
5. M. Heins, *Hardy classes on Riemann surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 98, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1969.
6. P. Järvi, *Removable singularities for H^p -functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 596–598.
7. M. Parreau, *Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **3** (1951), 103–197.
8. W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
9. D. Singman, *Exceptional sets in a product of harmonic spaces*, Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 29–43.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO M5S 1A7, ONTARIO, CANADA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, Mont Alto Campus, Mont Alto, Pennsylvania 17237