3.4 The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and a new triangle inequality

• Recall the triangle inequality on \mathbb{R} :

 $|x+y| \le |x|+|y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- How would this generalize to \mathbb{R}^2 ?
- Let's view points of \mathbb{R}^2 as vectors: $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2), \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 . We define their "norms" as

$$\|\vec{x}\| := \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \ \|\vec{y}\| := \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2}.$$

- The norm of the vector measures the length of the arrow representing the vector.
- Then the triangle inequality says:

$$||(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)|| \le ||(x_1, x_2)|| + ||(y_1, y_2)||.$$

Exercise.

Explain by means of a sketch why you should believe the triangle inequality is true, and also explain where the name "triangle inequality" comes from.

Side is less then the run leagths of the other

50 / x+ + + = 11x/ + / =//

really says that in a triangle, the length of any

. We need a proof of the triangle inequality that works in R?. The proof we used an R at the beginning of the course toesnot generalize.

• Want to show $\|\vec{x} + \vec{\eta}\|^2 \leq \left(\|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{q}\|\right)^2$ $(e) (x_1 + y_1)^2 + (x_2 + y_2)^2 \le ||x_1||^2 + ||x_1||^2 + 2||x_1|| ||x_1||^2 + 2||x_1|| ||x_1||^2$ ier (x,+7,) + (++7) = x, + x2+ y, + y2 + 2/2,242 / 7,2472 (2) 2x, 7, + 2+2/2 = 2/x, 2+x, 2 / ++ x 2 i.e. (x. 7 = //x// //?// · If we could prove this we could prove the triangle intervality. • This is known as the Cauchy Schwarz inequality. · Over proof will work in an infinite Cimensional vector spore called L'lapp. Here, a vector is a function F: Capping. The norm of fin 11fl = / Staller and the dot product < F, p> in SFG/pG/dy. (so sums in R' have been replaced by integrals).

Cauchy-Schwarz and Triangle Inequalities for $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Theorem

- Let $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f, g \in \mathscr{R}[a, b]$. Then the following are true.
- (i) We necessarily have that fg is also Riemann integrable on [a, b].
- (ii) (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality) $| \langle f, g \rangle | \leq ||f||_2 ||g||_2$.
- (iii) (Triangle Inequality for $L^{2}[a, b]$) $||f + g||_{2} \le ||f||_{2} + ||g||_{2}$.

Exercise.

a) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with a > 0. Say we know that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$at^2 + bt + c \ge 0.$$

What can we say about *a*, *b* and *c*?

- b) Write a proof of the theorem. You will find the first part of this exercise useful in doing the proof.
- c) Use the ideas of this proof to write a proof of the triangle inequality in \mathbb{R}^n .

@ The function the at + htte is a parabola that looks like "The location of the coordinate axes tells is if at + b tree has any red roots.

· So at + At+ c 7,0 says cares 0 or 0 occur, so there are not two real rooks. · Eudrater formula says the rooks are - 6 = 162-4ac

So not two real roots (=) b2-49250 i.e. =) [b2=494

So to recup: attributic > 0 for all t attributic > 0 for all t $b^2 = 4ac$

· a more formal proof can be done by completing the square.

Proving O: IF Ege & Lapp Then Fig & R (a, b) • The formula f.g = (F+g) - (F-g)2 and the linearity theorem show it is enough to prove the square of an integrable function is integrable. But note f= 1 f/ and we showed f integrable implies If/ integrable. So it is enough to prove it for squares of honnegative functions. · So if F?, o then for any interval I & a partition Sup { (FGI) 2: XEI; = (sup & fled : + E Zil) with a similar formula for infor This trick is the key to the prof.

Theorem If f, g e R [a, b], then f g e R [a, b]. Proof Observe that fig= (f+g) - (f-g), so by linearity of integrability, the result would follow if we could prove that the square of an integrable function is integrable. Since F integrable implies |f | integrable and f= |f|, it is enough for us to prove that the square of a non-negative integrable function is integrable. So let f & R La, b. f > 0. We will use the Partition Characterization of Integrabilitity to prove f² & R[a,b]. Let E>0. Since f & R[a,b], there exists a partition [= [Xo,...,X.] such that $\mathcal{U}(f, p) - \mathcal{L}(f, p) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2||f||}$ For each interval I: of P, since fro, $M_{f,i}^{2} := \{s_{upc} \{f(x): x \in I_{i}\}\} = s_{upc} \{(f(x))^{2}: x \in I_{i}\} := M_{f,i},$ and with similar notation, $m_{f,i}^{\prime} = m_{f,i}^{\prime}$

Thus $\mathcal{U}(f^{2}, P) - \mathcal{L}(f^{2}, P) = \underbrace{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}_{i=1} \begin{pmatrix} M_{i} - m_{i} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{i} = \underbrace{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}_{i} \begin{pmatrix} M^{2}_{i} - m^{2}_{i} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{i}$ $= \sum (M_{f,i} - m_{f,i})(M_{f,i} + m_{f,i})D_i$ $\leq 2 \|f\| \leq (M_{f_i} - m_{f_i}) D_i$ $= 2 \|f\|_{\infty} (U(f, p) - L(f, p)) < \varepsilon.$ Thus f'e R[a,6].

 $\frac{\Pr e_{\text{ref}} (I) \text{ Say } A, B, C \text{ natisfy } A > 0 \text{ and } At^{2}+Bt+C > 0 \text{ for all}}{t \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Then completing the square gives}}$ $0 \leq At^{2}+Bt+C = A\left(t^{2}+Bt+B^{2}-B^{2}+C\right) = A\left((t+B)^{2}+\frac{4AC-B^{2}}{4A^{2}}\right)$ It follows by taking t= -B that B2=4AC. $U_{e}have for each t \in |R,$ $o \leq \int (f(x))^{2} dx = (\int (f(x))^{2} dx)t^{2} + (\int 2f(x)g(x) dx)t + \int (f(x))^{2} dx$ so applying the above result, $\left(\int_{a}^{b} 2f(x)g(x) dx\right)^{2} \leq 4\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)\right)^{2} dx\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} g(x)\right)^{2} dx,$ i.e. $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq ||f||_2 ||g||_2$.

(i) By the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality and properties of the inner product, $\|f+\eta\|_{2}^{2} = \langle f+\eta, f+\eta \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle + 2 \langle f, \eta \rangle + \langle g, \eta \rangle$ = ||f||_+ 2<f, z> + /|g||_2 $\leq || f_{2} ||_{2} + 2 || f ||_{2} ||_{2} ||_{2} + || g ||_{2}^{2}$ -(|| f_ll_+//g/l_), so the triangle inequality follows by taking the square root of both rides.