

## 1.2 Limits of Sequences & Cauchy Sequences

### Definition: Sequence

A **sequence** is defined to be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . If a sequence is named  $x$ , we will refer to  $x(n)$  as  $x_n$ . We will usually denote the entire sequence by  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , but sometimes by abuse of notation we may denote the entire sequence by  $x_n$ .

- We also think of a sequence as being an endless list of real numbers.
- So the sequence  $x_n = 1/n$  gives rise to the list

$$1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, \dots$$

- We're particularly interested in the behavior of the sequence  $x_n$  far out in the list, i.e. very large  $n$ .

### Definition: Convergence and divergence of a sequence

- (i) Let  $x_n$  be a sequence and  $L$  a real number. We say that  $x_n$  converges to  $L$  provided

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})[n \geq N \implies |x_n - L| < \varepsilon]$$

- (ii) If  $x_n$  converges to  $L$ , we write  $x_n \rightarrow L$ , or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$ .
- (iii) If  $x_n$  is a sequence and there does not exist a real number  $L$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow L$ , then we say that  $x_n$  diverges.

### Exercise 1.2.1.

- a) Informally, what does it mean to say that the sequence  $x_n$  converges to the number  $L$ ?
- b) Suppose the following statement is true:

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})[n \geq N \implies |x_n - L| < 100\varepsilon]$$

Is it true that  $x_n \rightarrow L$ ?

- c) Write in symbols and in words what it means to say that a sequence does not converge to a number  $L$ .
- d) Informally, what does it mean to say that a sequence does not converge to a given number  $L$ ?

a) All the terms sufficiently far out in the sequence (i.e. for  $n$  sufficiently large) get arbitrarily close to  $L$ .

b) Yes. As  $\varepsilon$  varies over all positive real numbers, so does  $100\varepsilon$ .

$$c) (\exists \varepsilon > 0)(\forall N \in \mathbb{N})(\exists n \in \mathbb{N})[n \geq N \text{ and } |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon]$$

$$\sim [P \implies Q] = P \wedge \sim Q$$

Exercise 1.2.2.

Consider the statement  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ .

(i) Intuitively why do you believe it is true?

(i) If  $n$  gets very large,  
 $\frac{1}{n}$  gets very close to 0.

(ii) Write a proof that it is true.

must prove  
this.

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(n \geq N \Rightarrow |1/n| < \varepsilon)$$

(ii) Pf Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $n \geq N$ . Then

$$|1/n| = \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon.$$

We've thus shown  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

### Exercise 1.2.3.

Consider the statement  $1/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0$ .

(i) Intuitively why do you believe it is true?

(ii) Write a proof that it is true.

(i) If  $n$  gets really large, so does  $\sqrt{n}$ , and thus we expect  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  to get arbitrarily close to 0.

(ii) [Show  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(n \geq N \Rightarrow |1/\sqrt{n}| < \epsilon)$ ]

- work backwards from  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon} < \sqrt{n}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} < n$ .

So we want  $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$

motivation

Proof. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $n \geq N$ . Then

$$|1/\sqrt{n}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} < \epsilon. \quad \square$$

## Exercise.

Consider the statement that a convergent sequence has a unique number to which it converges.

a) Intuitively why do you believe this is true?

b) Write a proof that it is true.

① If the terms of a sequence ultimately get close to a number, they can't get close to a different number.

② Say the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $L_1$  and to  $L_2$ . We will show  $L_1 = L_2$ . We do it by showing  $|L_1 - L_2| = 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow L_1$ , we know there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n > N_1$ , then  $|x_n - L_1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow L_2$ ,

we know there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n > N_2$ , then  $|x_n - L_2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $n > N_1$  and  $n > N_2$ . Then

$$|L_1 - L_2| = |(L_1 - x_n) + (x_n - L_2)| \leq |L_1 - x_n| + |x_n - L_2|$$

$$\langle \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon \rangle = \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$|L_1 - L_2| = 0, \text{ i.e. } L_1 = L_2. \quad \square$$

## Exercise.

Fix  $r$  such that  $0 < r < 1$ . Consider the statement that  $r^n \rightarrow 0$ .

- Intuitively why do you believe the statement is true?
- Write a proof that it is true. Make use of properties of the natural logarithm and exponential functions (even though you haven't yet been given rigorous definitions).
- Since we haven't rigorously developed the definition and properties of the log and exponential functions, we should try to write a proof that doesn't make use of them. So write a proof that  $r^n \rightarrow 0$  which does not make use of logs or exponentials.

① Since  $0 < r < 1$ , the powers of  $r$  get smaller and smaller.

② Motivation.  $(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (n \geq N \Rightarrow |r^n| < \varepsilon)$

• work backwards from

negative  $r^n < \varepsilon$

$$n \log r = \log r^n < \log \varepsilon$$

$$n > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log r}$$

so we want

$$N > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log r}$$

Proof Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  st.  $N > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log r}$ .  
Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $n \geq N$ . Then  $n > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log r}$  so we

Since  $0 < r < 1$ , it follows  $\log r < 0$ , so

$$\log r^n = n \log r < \log \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\log$  is an increasing function, we deduce

$$|r^n| = r^n < \varepsilon.$$

□

©

Motivation

$$\bullet 0 < r < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} > 1.$$

$$\bullet \text{ Put } y = \frac{1}{r} - 1.$$

$$\text{Then } y > 0.$$

$$\bullet \frac{1}{r} = 1 + y \Rightarrow r = \frac{1}{1 + y}.$$

$$\therefore r^n = \frac{1}{(1 + y)^n}.$$

$$\text{So } n \rightarrow \infty \iff (1 + y)^n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Binomial Theorem

$$(1 + y)^n = 1 + \binom{n}{1}y + \binom{n}{2}y^2 + \binom{n}{3}y^3 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}y^n$$



where  $\binom{n}{j} = \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}$

Note  $\binom{n}{1} = \frac{n!}{1!(n-1)!} = n$

$$(1+y)^n > ny$$

$\epsilon$  want

$$n^{\epsilon} < \epsilon \iff \frac{1}{(1+y)^n} < \epsilon$$

$$\iff (1+y)^n > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

So we're ok if  $ny > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

$$\text{So choose } N > \frac{1}{\epsilon y}$$

© Proof let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define  $y = \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ . Since  $\delta > 0$ , it follows  $y > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon y}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $n \geq N$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{1+r} = (1+r)^{-n} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} r^j$$

(binomial theorem)

$$> \binom{n}{1} r$$

$$= nr$$

$$\geq nr$$

$$> \frac{1}{3}$$

So  $nr > \frac{1}{3}$ .

